

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT  
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

*A.U. and M.U. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*,  
4:24-cv-03231

*C.A. and C.J. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*,  
4:24-cv-03234

*D.Z. and K.Z. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*,  
4:24-cv-03232

*Jessica M. Cannon-Lear, on behalf of minor  
A.M.T., v. Meta Platforms Inc., et al.*, 4:24-  
cv-02924

*S.O. and J.O., individually, and as next of  
friends to minor Plaintiff, K.O. v. Meta  
Platforms Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-01989

*Sasha Goldsmith, Jason Goldsmith, and J.G.  
v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03235

*S.G. and L.P. v. Snap, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-  
03233

*M.H. and A.H. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et  
al.*, No. 4:24-cv-03831

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING  
**IN PART AND DENYING IN PART**  
**PLAINTIFFS' TENTH**  
**CONSOLIDATED EX PARTE**  
**APPLICATION AND APPOINTING**  
**GUARDIANS AD LITEM; AS**  
**MODIFIED BY THE COURT**

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' Tenth *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (hereinafter, "Tenth *Ex Parte* Application").

Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (ECF No. 122), *Ex Parte* Applications for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* ("Applications") submitted by parents and/or legal guardians were deemed presumptively approved upon filing because there was no apparent conflict between the applicants' parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the Court in "achieving a just and speedy determination of the action." ECF No. 122 ¶ 4 (citing *J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist.*, No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 WL 4942999, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Sept.16, 2016)).

On June 10, 2024, Applications were submitted by the parents and/or legal guardians to serve as guardians *ad litem* for the individual minor plaintiffs in the following cases:

- *A.U. and M.U. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03231 (Exhibit 1);
- *C.A. and C.J. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03234 (Exhibit 2);
- *D.Z. and K.Z. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03232 (Exhibit 3);
- *Jessica M. Cannon-Lear, on behalf of minor A.M.T., v. Meta Platforms Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-02924 (Exhibit 4);
- *S.O. and J.O., individually, and as next of friends to minor Plaintiff, K.O. v. Meta Platforms Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-01989 (Exhibit 5);
- *Sasha Goldsmith, Jason Goldsmith, and J.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03235 (Exhibit 6);
- *S.G. and L.P. v. Snap, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03233 (Exhibit 7); and
- *M.H. and A.H. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.*, 4:24-cv-03831 (Exhibit 8).

Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem*, the Court's presumptive approval of these Applications will become final if no objections are filed within fifteen (15) days of the filing of Plaintiffs' Tenth *Ex Parte* Application. ECF No.122 ¶5.

Having received no objections on or before July 26, 2024, which is fifteen (15) days after the filing of Plaintiffs' Tenth *Ex Parte* Application, and good cause appearing, it is hereby

1 ordered that that the applicants identified in the Applications for the cases listed above, **except as**  
2 **noted below**, are appointed as guardians *ad litem* for the minor plaintiffs in those actions for the  
3 purposes of this litigation. These appointments, as well as all prior appointments of guardians ad  
4 litem in this case, shall remain in effect until the minor reaches the age of majority.

5 The application for appointment of guardian *ad litem* in Case No. 24-cv-03234 (Ex. 2) is  
6 **DENIED** because the plaintiff has reached the age of majority in their jurisdiction.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8  
9 Dated: **August 30, 2024**

  
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE